



LEGISLATOR RECORD

Public voting record by issue — from Congress.gov roll calls

SC-04

Timmons, William R. (Republican)

House · SC-04

A neutral overview of how this representative's roll-call votes map to issue areas. Not an endorsement — data for civic understanding.

What's inside

- At a glance — metrics, stance mix bar, and record snapshot
- How to read this report
- Top record patterns and issue highlights
- Most decisive and mixed issue areas
- Key votes behind major issue labels (with Congress.gov links)
- Pro / Con definitions for top mapped issues
- Full voting record by issue (reference tables)
- Party alignment
- Representative summary (when available)

Quick reference for this representative's public roll-call statistics in VoteStance. Participation reflects recorded roll-call votes in our coverage — members may also participate actively in committee work not shown here.

Representative	William Timmons
District	SC-04
Party / chamber	Republican · House
Roll-call participation	58.2%
Votes with party majority	99.1%
Votes in record (cast)	322
Missed votes (total)	231
Issue areas covered	38
Stance mix (Pro / Con / Mixed / Unknown)	5 / 26 / 7 / 0

Stance mix (mapped issues)



Record snapshot

- Strongest mapped Con areas: Environmental Protection (14 Pro / 39 Con, 53 decisive); Climate Change (13 Pro / 31 Con, 44 decisive); Criminal Justice Reform (7 Pro / 24 Con, 31 decisive)
- Strongest mapped Pro areas: Energy Costs (28 Pro / 14 Con, 42 decisive); Defense Spending (28 Pro / 13 Con, 41 decisive); Public Education Funding (16 Pro / 8 Con, 24 decisive)
- Most mixed / split areas: Government Spending (33 Pro / 23 Con, 56 decisive); Infrastructure Investment (7 Pro / 7 Con, 14 decisive); Data Privacy (7 Pro / 5 Con, 12 decisive)
- Party alignment: very high — 99.1% with the Republican majority
- Participation: 58.2% roll-call participation in VoteStance coverage, 231 missed votes

This Research Edition summarizes public roll-call data mapped to issue areas. It is a starting point for civic research — not an endorsement or a verdict on motive.

Best used for

- Civic research and district profile summaries
- Candidate forums and journalist background
- Issue research and comparing a representative's record to district priorities
- Pairing with Follow the Money for donor-and-vote context

Not designed to prove

- Personal beliefs or constituent opinion
- Donor motive, corruption, or quid pro quo
- Official office position statements or endorsement

Pro / Con / Mixed: Pro means mapped Yea/Nay votes generally align with VoteStance's pro-position definition for that issue; Con means they align with the opposite direction; Mixed means neither side reaches 60% of decisive mapped votes. Review underlying roll calls on Congress.gov before citing publicly.

Curated patterns from mapped roll-call counts — review underlying votes on Congress.gov before citing.

1. High party alignment: Rep. Timmons voted with the Republican majority 99.1% of the time in VoteStance coverage.
2. Strong mapped Con pattern (Environmental Protection, Climate Change): Environmental Protection (14 Pro / 39 Con, 53 decisive); Climate Change (13 Pro / 31 Con, 44 decisive); Criminal Justice Reform (7 Pro / 24 Con, 31 decisive).
3. Strong mapped Pro pattern (Energy Costs, Defense Spending): Energy Costs (28 Pro / 14 Con, 42 decisive); Defense Spending (28 Pro / 13 Con, 41 decisive); Public Education Funding (16 Pro / 8 Con, 24 decisive).

Issues where mapped decisive votes lean most consistently one direction — verify individual roll calls before citing.

- **Racial Justice** (Con): 0 Pro / 11 Con across 11 decisive votes
- **Transportation Affordability** (Con): 0 Pro / 6 Con across 6 decisive votes
- **LGBTQ+ Rights** (Con): 0 Pro / 5 Con across 5 decisive votes
- **Social Security** (Con): 0 Pro / 5 Con across 5 decisive votes

- **Trade Policy** (Con): 1 Pro / 15 Con across 16 decisive votes
- **Voting Rights** (Con): 1 Pro / 12 Con across 13 decisive votes
- **Immigration** (Con): 2 Pro / 22 Con across 24 decisive votes
- **Small Business Support** (Con): 3 Pro / 19 Con across 22 decisive votes

Mixed-direction mapped records often create the most interesting research questions — verify bill text and vote context on [Congress.gov](https://www.congress.gov).

- **Government Spending** (33 Pro / 23 Con, 56 decisive)
- **Infrastructure Investment** (7 Pro / 7 Con, 14 decisive)
- **Data Privacy** (7 Pro / 5 Con, 12 decisive)
- **Women's Rights** (7 Pro / 5 Con, 12 decisive)
- **Food Security** (4 Pro / 5 Con, 9 decisive)

Top mapped issue areas by decisive vote volume — rule-based summaries from roll-call counts. Verify underlying roll calls on [Congress.gov](https://www.congress.gov) before citing publicly.

Climate Change

Mapped Con: 13 Pro / 31 Con across 44 decisive votes. Mapped votes lean toward the opposite direction on this issue.

Defense Spending

Mapped Pro: 28 Pro / 13 Con across 41 decisive votes. Mapped votes lean toward VoteStance's pro-position on this issue.

Criminal Justice Reform

Mapped Con: 7 Pro / 24 Con across 31 decisive votes. Mapped votes lean toward the opposite direction on this issue.

Immigration

Mapped Con: 2 Pro / 22 Con across 24 decisive votes. Mapped votes lean toward the opposite direction on this issue. One of the clearest patterns in this report.

Public Education Funding

Mapped Pro: 16 Pro / 8 Con across 24 decisive votes. Mapped votes lean toward VoteStance's pro-position on this issue.

Foreign Aid

Mapped Pro: 17 Pro / 6 Con across 23 decisive votes. Mapped votes lean toward VoteStance's pro-position on this issue.

These examples illustrate major mapped issue categories; they are not necessarily the most important or most recent votes in this member's record. One substantive example per issue area — mapping shows how the roll call scores on the tagged issue (Pro / Con). Verify every vote on Congress.gov before citing.

Bill	Date	Issue	Vote	Mapping	Summary
HR 7147	2026-01-22	Government Spending	Yea	Pro on Government Spending	This roll call concerned FY2026 consolidated federal appropriations; VoteStance maps the vote as Pro on Government Spending because it aligned with increased government spending on public programs.
HR 3898	2025-12-11	Environmental Protection	Yea	Con on Environmental Protection	This roll call concerned permitting and environmental review rules; VoteStance maps the vote as Con on Environmental Protection because it aligned with reducing environmental regulations to boost economic growth.
HJRES 130	2025-11-18	Climate Change	Yea	Con on Climate Change	This roll call concerned congressional disapproval of a Bureau of Land Management land-use rule; VoteStance maps the vote as Con on Climate Change because it aligned with VoteStance's Con direction on this issue (skeptical of climate regulation; prioritizes energy production over restrictions).
HR 3628	2025-12-11	Energy Costs	Yea	Pro on Energy Costs	This roll call concerned state energy planning for reliability and affordability; VoteStance maps the vote as Pro on Energy Costs because it aligned with policies to reduce consumer energy costs through clean energy.
HR 3838	2025-09-10	Defense Spending	Aye	Pro on Defense Spending	This roll call concerned defense authorization and military procurement policy; VoteStance maps the vote as Pro on Defense Spending because it aligned with defense spending and military readiness.
HR 4922	2025-09-16	Criminal Justice Reform	Yea	Con on Criminal Justice Reform	This roll call concerned criminal justice and public safety policy in Washington, D.C.; VoteStance maps the vote as Con on Criminal Justice Reform because it aligned with tougher sentencing, strong law enforcement, law-and-order approach.

Bill	Date	Issue	Vote	Mapping	Summary
HR 3486	2025-09-11	Immigration	Yea	Con on Immigration	This roll call concerned border enforcement and illegal entry penalties; VoteStance maps the vote as Con on Immigration because it aligned with border security and stricter immigration enforcement.
HR 1005	2025-12-03	Public Education Funding	Yea	Pro on Public Education Funding	This roll call concerned public school curriculum standards; VoteStance maps the vote as Pro on Public Education Funding because it aligned with public school funding; oppose voucher diversion.

Congress.gov sources

- HR 7147: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/7147>
- HR 3898: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/3898>
- HJRES 130: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-joint-resolution/130>
- HR 3628: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/3628>
- HR 3838: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/3838>
- HR 4922: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/4922>
- HR 3486: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/3486>
- HR 1005: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/1005>

What Pro and Con mean for the highest-volume mapped issues in this report. These are VoteStance issue-direction labels — not official office statements.

Government Spending

- Pro means:* Supports increased government spending on public programs
- Con means:* Favors reducing government spending; cutting deficits and debt

Environmental Protection

- Pro means:* Supports strong environmental regulations and conservation efforts
- Con means:* Favors reducing environmental regulations to boost economic growth

Climate Change

- Pro means:* Favors strong climate action, clean energy transition, emissions limits
- Con means:* Skeptical of climate regulation; prioritizes energy production over restrictions

Energy Costs

- Pro means:* Support policies to reduce consumer energy costs through clean energy
- Con means:* Prioritize domestic fossil fuel production to lower energy costs

Defense Spending

- Pro means:* Increase defense spending and military readiness
- Con means:* Reduce defense spending; redirect funds to domestic programs

Criminal Justice Reform

Pro means: Favors reducing incarceration, police reform, rehabilitation focus

Con means: Favors tougher sentencing, strong law enforcement, law-and-order approach

Immigration

Pro means: Supports pathways to citizenship and more legal immigration

Con means: Prioritizes border security and stricter immigration enforcement

Public Education Funding

Pro means: Increase public school funding; oppose voucher diversion

Con means: Redirect funds to charter schools and private school vouchers

Additional issue definitions may appear on VoteStance district and issue pages.

Complete reference tables grouped by issue category. Stance = Pro or Con when $\geq 60\%$ of mapped decisive votes lean one direction; otherwise Mixed. Pro/Con reflect VoteStance issue-direction mapping — not official office statements. Review roll calls on [Congress.gov](https://www.congress.gov) before citing.

Social & civil rights

Issue	Stance	Pro	Con	Decisive
Abortion Rights	Con	1	4	5
Childcare Affordability	Con	2	4	6
Disability Rights	Con	1	4	5
Food Security	Mixed	4	5	9
LGBTQ+ Rights	Con	0	5	5
Racial Justice	Con	0	11	11
Women's Rights	Mixed	7	5	12

Healthcare

Issue	Stance	Pro	Con	Decisive
Healthcare Affordability	Mixed	3	4	7
Medicaid	Con	4	6	10
Medicare	Con	4	6	10
Mental Healthcare	Mixed	3	4	7

Economy & cost of living

Issue	Stance	Pro	Con	Decisive
Affordable Housing	Con	1	5	6
Cost of Living	Con	2	9	11
Data Privacy	Mixed	7	5	12
Government Spending	Mixed	33	23	56
Infrastructure Investment	Mixed	7	7	14
Job Creation	Con	2	11	13
Minimum Wage	Con	3	6	9
Small Business Support	Con	3	19	22
Social Security	Con	0	5	5
Tax Policy	Con	8	15	23
Technology Regulation	Con	4	10	14
Trade Policy	Con	1	15	16
Transportation Affordability	Con	0	6	6
Workers' Rights	Con	7	12	19

Energy & environment

Issue	Stance	Pro	Con	Decisive
Climate Change	Con	13	31	44
Energy Costs	Pro	28	14	42
Environmental Protection	Con	14	39	53
Renewable Energy	Con	5	9	14

National security & foreign policy

Issue	Stance	Pro	Con	Decisive
Defense Spending	Pro	28	13	41
Foreign Aid	Pro	17	6	23

Immigration	Con	2	22	24
Veterans Affairs	Con	3	17	20

Education & family

Issue	Stance	Pro	Con	Decisive
Education Affordability	Pro	13	4	17
Public Education Funding	Pro	16	8	24

Democracy

Issue	Stance	Pro	Con	Decisive
Voting Rights	Con	1	12	13

Public Safety

Issue	Stance	Pro	Con	Decisive
Criminal Justice Reform	Con	7	24	31
Gun Control	Con	1	4	5

In VoteStance's roll-call sample, Rep. Timmons voted with the Republican majority 99.1% of the time — a highly consistent pattern relative to many colleagues.

Participation reflects recorded roll-call votes in VoteStance coverage — not every committee or procedural action in the chamber.

Metric	Value
Party-line vote rate	99.1%
Deviation rate (votes against party)	0.9%
Total votes in record	322
Attendance rate	58.2%

Issue directions below match the roll-call counts in this report (Pro/Con when ≥60% of decisive mapped votes lean one way; otherwise Mixed). Attendance uses the current legislator record.

Rep. Timmons votes with the Republican majority 99.1% of the time in VoteStance coverage. Roll-call patterns map Con on abortion rights, affordable housing, childcare affordability, climate change, cost of living, and related issues. Patterns map Pro on defense spending, education affordability, energy costs, foreign aid, and related issues. Mixed patterns on data privacy, food security, government spending, healthcare affordability — review individual roll calls before citing.

- Mapped as split on government spending (33 pro, 23 con across 56 decisive votes).
- Mapped as opposing environmental protection (14 pro, 39 con across 53 decisive votes).
- Mapped as opposing climate change (13 pro, 31 con across 44 decisive votes).
- Mapped as supporting energy costs (28 pro, 14 con across 42 decisive votes).
- Mapped as supporting defense spending (28 pro, 13 con across 41 decisive votes).
- Mapped as opposing criminal justice reform (7 pro, 24 con across 31 decisive votes).

Report type: Research Edition (Public) · Generated 2026-05-24 · Platform: Vote Stance.

Roll calls: Congress.gov. Issue stance directions: VoteStance roll-call mappings — not official office position statements.

This document summarizes public voting data only. It does not allege motive, illegality, or endorsement.

Official website: <https://timmons.house.gov/>

View the full online record: <https://www.votestance.com/district/SC-04>